

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,185	03/27/2000	George McBride	CARDIOBEAT-2	3796
7:	590 02/13/2002			
Donald J Lenkszus			EXAMINER	
P O Box 3064			WD ( D	
Carefree, AZ 85377-3064			KIM, PAUL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	_	$\mu$				
•	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/535,185	MCBRIDE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul L Kim	2857				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	larch 2000					
2a)[		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pr					
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
S. Patent and Tra	odemark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark On PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brudny et al.

With reference to claim 1, Brudny teaches a method of providing medical testing comprising: providing central serving apparatus coupled to the Internet (fig. 1, part 1), uploading medical test measurement data to the server from the remote locations via the Internet (col. 21, lines 64-65), selecting a computer program algorithm at the server to process the test data (col. 8, lines 33-34), processing the medical measurement data in accordance with the selected computer program algorithm to produce test information (col. 9, lines 14-24), and downloading the test information to a user coupled to the internet (fig. 1).

With reference to claims 2-4, Brudny teaches providing a database accessible by the server, receiving patient ID information, and receiving a request for test information (fig. 14 & col. 21, lines 42-45).

With reference to claims 5-6, 8, and 13, Brudny teaches receiving and downloading requests via Internet (col. 3, lines 64-66).

Art Unit: 2857

With reference to claims 9 and 14, Brudny teaches storing measurement software and testing information in memory located proximate to the server (fig. 8, lines 33-34).

With reference to claim 15, Brudny teaches providing the test information as multimedia information displayable at the patient Internet apparatus (fig. 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brudny et al.

With reference to claim 7, Brudny does not teach determining whether the requester has authorization to obtain information. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art for a computer to receive authorization from a requestor before releasing information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Brudny, so that test information can be released only when authorization is made in order to keep information confidential.

With reference to claim 10-12, Brudny does not teach un-installing software after uploading test measurement data. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is

Art Unit: 2857

well known in the art to un-install software programs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Brudny, so that software un-install can be performed in order to conserve storage space and upload a new program.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tacklind teaches a method of downloading medical test data to a server from a remote location via the Internet (fig. 1).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-9722 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/535,185

Art Unit: 2857

PΚ

January 29, 2002

MARC S. HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5